

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 4229 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.D.SHAH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

MOHANBHAI GANESHBHAI

Versus

GUJARAT STEEL TUBES LTD

Appearance:

MR TR MISHRA for Petitioner

NANAVATI ASSOCIATES for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.D.SHAH

Date of decision: 27/08/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Rule. Mr.K.D.Gandhi, appearing for Nanavati Associates for respondent No.1 appears and waives service of rule. At the hearing of this petition, the learned counsel appearing for the parties, namely, Mr.T.R.Mishra for petitioner and Mr.K.D.Gandhi for respondent have informed the court that the parties have settled the matter outside the court. As per the settlement the

petitioner is to be reinstated in service without backwages with continuity of service and other beneficial increments. It is further stated that the petitioner shall be reinstated within a period of two weeks from today. Secondly it is agreed between the parties that the respondents shall pay amount of Rs.10,000/- as lumpsum amount to the petitioner. In the aforesaid circumstances, parties have agreed to quashing and setting aside of the order passed by the labour court, and it is hereby quashed and set aside. Pursuant to the aforesaid effect is filed by the parties in the court which is duly signed by the petitioner and his advocate and the advocate for the respondent-Co who is authorised to sign the consent terms. Aforesaid consent terms are taken on record. It is also clarified that the aforesaid consent terms are to be treated as in full and final settlement in respect of claims made by the petitioner in the petition.

2. In view of the aforesaid consent terms, which are recorded and order in terms of consent is passed, rule is made absolute. No costs.

...